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**Reporting dashboard - *Working Group on Financial Modernization and Regulatory Reform***

Key to progress indicator colours

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Initiatives / projects on schedule  |
|  | Initiatives / projects behind schedule |
|  | Serious difficulties being experienced – Internal factors |
|  | Serious difficulties being experienced – External factors (beyond the control of the WG) |
|  | Not yet scheduled to start |
|  | Initiatives / projects completed  |

KSC work plan 2017-19

 **Strategic objectives review report (May 2019)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Strategic objective**(as per SP 2017-22) | **Strategies & initiatives** (as per SP 2017-22) | **Performance** **indicator[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Action items[[2]](#footnote-2)****and other comment**  |
|  |
| Develop tools and knowledge-sharing opportunities to enhance the capacity of supreme audit institutions (SAIs) to evaluate financial modernization and regulatory reforms on a national level | Identify and catalog tools and methodologies used by SAIs for auditing financial reforms and leading practices from doing audits and reviews of financial regulatory reformsIdentify and assess the key challenges faced by SAIs in auditing financial reforms and how the Working Group can help address them, such as through training or guidanceExplore ways in which the Working Group can coordinate and leverage knowledge, skills, and abilities with other INTOSAI Groups, such as the Working Group on Public Debt and the Working Group on Key National Indicators Explore ways in which SAIs could engage in parallel or other types of coordinated audits to share knowledge and enhance skills. | Number of training courses organized, developed, or led by the Working GroupNumber of collaborative information-sharing activities engaged in by the Working Group’s members, such as through parallel audits, provision of technical assistance, or meetings with other Working GroupsNumber of times SAIs accessed the Working Group’s website to download information | Progress to date:Completed a survey of the Working Group’s members to document the scope of their mandates to audit financial regulatory reformsDeveloped a database of audits by SAIs related to financial regulatory reformsSubmitted documents to KSC for website to enhance information sharing and collaboration among SAIsHeld six annual meetings since 2014 during which the Working Group’s members have shared information about their audits, including methodologies, and enhanced their knowledge about the G20’s financial regulatory reforms and emerging risks to the financial sector Held a training workshop in 2017 on the development and use of criteria for financial sector auditsUpdated terms of reference and work plan incorporating revised work streams from prior working group surveyInitiated discussion among members in exploring potential topics for parallel audits Action items / key next steps:Leverage established relationships with international organizations, such as the IMF, FSB, and World Bank to communicate questions from member SAIs and facilitate discussions about evaluations of regulatory reforms in their respective countriesFollow up with KSC on the status of the website and how to obtain access for working group membersUpdate 2017 training materials and hold a training workshop in 2020 Hold a working group teleconference in November to share information about recently completed or ongoing audits and methodologiesContinue to discuss potential parallel audit topics with interested working group members  |
| Establish mechanisms for sharing information on progress of financial modernization and regulatory reforms between SAIs and international organizations | Identify international organizations involved in implementing the G20’s financial regulatory reforms, including their roles and responsibilities, and relevant contact information (e.g., name of key officials and their e-mail addresses or telephone numbers)Prioritize the Working Group’s outreach efforts to international organizations, focusing on those organizations responsible for establishing standards to promote financial stabilityDevelop strategies for contacting and establishing working relationships with international organizations, including developing protocols for sharing information on a bilateral basis | Number of international organizations with which the Working Group has established a working relationshipNumber of meetings or other activities with which the Working Group and international organizations shared information on an annual basisNumber of international organizations to which the Working Group has engaged in outreach efforts | Progress to date:Established working relationships with the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel Committee), Financial Stability Board (FSB), International Monetary Fund (IMF), and World BankMet periodically with officials from the Basel Committee, FSB, IMF, and World Bank to discuss the Working Group and INTOSAI and had officials from these organizations deliver presentations at the Working Group’s annual meetingsMet with a member of the INTOSAI Development Initiative (IDI) to discuss IDI’s role in helping SAIs prepare for implementation of the UN’s 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, which includes the [UN’s Sustainable Development Goals (SDG)](http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/)Updated work plan incorporating revised work streams from prior working group surveyAction items / key next steps:Continue to strengthen working relationships with the Basel Committee, FSB, IMF, and World Bank, in part by regularly meeting with them, monitoring their planned evaluations, and sharing relevant SAI audits and other information with them on a timely basisReview relevant reports on UN Sustainable Development Goals on enhancing macroeconomic stability and reach out to other relevant working groups to establish and grow working relationships and share knowledge, such as IDI |
| Develop a system to track and synthesize information on the nature, scope, and status of financial modernization and regulatory reforms at the national and international levels and identify gaps and areas where additional study by the Working Group is needed. | Identify key sources of information on the G20’s financial regulatory reforms, including the areas covered by the reforms, international organizations responsible for implementing the reforms, and timeframes for implementing and evaluating the reformsIdentify international and national efforts or programs to monitor for emerging risk or early warning signs of financial instability at the global or national levelsDevelop processes for collecting, analyzing, and reporting information on the implementation of the G20’s financial reforms and emerging risks |  | Progress to date:Regularly reviewed progress reports, peer reviews, and other documents issued by international organizations assessing the implementation status of the G20’s financial regulatory reforms, identifying implementation challenges, and evaluating the effects of the reformsRegularly reviewed reports by international organizations (e.g., IMF) and national authorities (e.g., central banks) identifying and evaluating emerging risks or potential threats to financial stabilityDiscussed regulatory reforms and related issues periodically with officials from the Basel Committee, FSB, IMF, and World BankAnnual meeting participants presented summaries of the financial structure, regulatory reforms, and emerging risks in their countries and discussed similarities and differencesDelivered an annual report for the past six years to the INTOSAI Governing Board that summarized, among other things, the implementation status of the G20’s financial regulatory reforms at the national level and emerging risksUpdated work plan incorporating revised work streams from prior working group surveyAction items / key next steps:Continue to review relevant information on the G20’s financial reforms and emerging risks and summarize such information in the Working Group’s annual report Update information on scope of SAI authorities and common challenges by surveying working group members and other SAIs in economies with global systemically important banks, as identified by FSB and the Basel Committee  |

1. In the case of single large projects / initiatives, the progress indicator could also be one of the following three progress statements: (i) the project has been initiated/come into existence (has been defined, planned, and resourced), (ii) the project is being implemented (and is on track, or behind schedule, in trouble – green or amber or red/Purple), and (iii) the project has delivered the main outcome (is either completed – black – or its outcome is functioning as intended / producing the results, e.g. a technical support function; maintain, monitor and enhance). Appropriate colour may be chosen as the background of the cell. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. SP 2017-22, page 36, refers to the strategic objectives review to include “determining what actions and next steps are most needed to ensure continued progress towards each objective”. The progress achieved till date and the key items/next steps needed to ensure continued progress towards each objective and to manage risks may be indicated here. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)